

TEACHING EVALUATIONS

SUSAN RHOADES NEEL

Fall 2008

College of Eastern Utah

Fall 2008

Course Title: American Civilization

Instructor: Susan Neel

| Instructor: Susan Neel | | | | | | | |
|---|------|----------------|-------------------|--------------|-------------------|-----------------|----------------------|
| The Instructor | | | | | | | |
| | Mean | No Response | Strongly Agree | Agree | Neutral | Disagree | Strongly Disagree |
| The instructor defined and achieved the objectives of the course. | 4.52 | 1 | 12 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| The instructor provided a course syllabus at the beginning of the class. | 4.74 | 1 | 18 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 3. The instructor demonstrated expertise in teh subject matter. | 4.63 | 0 | 15 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4. The instructor was able to communicate the concepts well. | 4.46 | 0 | 13 | 9 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| 5. The instructor is enthusiastic about the course. | 4.67 | 0 | 17 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| i. The instructor seems interested in students as individuals. | 4.04 | 0 | 10 | 7 | 5 | 2 | 0 |
| . The instructor provided prompt feedback to students on ssignments and/or exams. | 3.92 | 0 | 8 | 8 | 6 | 2 | 0 |
| The instructor was available during scheduled office hours and by appointment. | 4.35 | 1 | 10 | 11 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| O. The instructor meets class on time and for the full period. | 4.67 | 0 | 16 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0. The instructor is well organized and prepared each day. | 4.67 | 0 | 16 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| The instructor made me feel comfortable about participating n class. | 4.09 | 1 | 7 | 11 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| 2. The Instructor provided adequate opportunities for students' questions. | 4.04 | 1 | 7 | 10 | 6 | 0 | 0 |
| 3. I would recommend this instructor to a friend. | 4.13 | 0 | 10 | 8 | 5 | 1 | 0 |
| | Mean | No Response | Excelient | Very Good | Average | Inadequate | Very Poor |
| 4. I would rate the overall teaching ability of this instructor as: | 4.24 | 3 | 8 | 10 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| The Course | | | and a set with | | | | |
| | Mean | No Response | Strongly Agree | Agree | Neutral | Disagree | Strongly Disagree |
| 5. The course content corresponded with the objectives stated by the instructor. | 4.70 | 1 | 16 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6. The standards for evaluation and grading were clearly presented and applied consistently. | 4.33 | 0 | 9 | 14 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| The class assignments are clear, relevant, and in alignment with the course description. | 4.29 | 0 | 8 | 15 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| The class exams are consistent with class lectures, supportive eading, and course objectives. | 4.21 | 0 | 9 | 12 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| 19. I would rate the text(s) and/or supplies for this course as appropriate. | 4.45 | 2 | 10 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Overall | | | | | | | |
| | Mean | No Response | Very Extensive | Considerable | Some Knowledge | Very Limited | No Knowleds |
| 20. I would rate my level of knowledge of this subject before aking the course as: | 2.71 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 13 | 9 | 0 |
| | Mean | No Response | Very Extensive | Considerable | Some Knowiedge | Very Limited | No Knowledg |
| 21. I would rate my level of knowledge of this subject after taking the course as: | 3.92 | 0 | 2 | 18 | 4 | 0 | 0 |

Fall 2008 Susan Neel CRN 20184 HIST 1700 001

1. What did the instructor do well that helped your understanding and learning?

She is really good with words, and helped me a lot

Lectures, power point, and videos a lot of visual which was nice.

Very clear on the concepts.

Let us take lots of notes.

I never really did like history. But she made it fun and not boring

She is excited about it and is good at teaching it

explained things clearly and gave examples.

She went over things several times with great detail

Documentaries were helpful.

She was very well organized, and had an outline in front of us to keep us on the same page.

She explained things well

She knows the information she is presenting & has very good relevant examples.

Taught clearly, provided her lecture notes and study guides. The practice tests were very helpful She really went in depth in everything she taught

2. What could the instructor have done better to help your understanding and learning?

If the lectures and book went together

Not much.

Nothing.

She did well I would keep it the same.

There is a lot of work in the class & some times its hard to get it done

turn on the light when teaching. It's hard enough already to stay awake in the morning.

Got class more involved.

I think that she did very well.

Made the lectures more fun.

Perhaps give a little more time for discussion in class.

She could of mixed thing up more. Instead of the same old lectures everyday.

3. Make any additional comments you wish to include. These may concern any item in any section of the survey or other information which you feel has not been covered.

She is a great teacher, and does her job well

There was a ton of outside work, that made the class seem not needed to attend

Thanks I really liked your class

I would like to get my test back so I know what I did wrong.

I loved her she was a very good teacher. I took this class in HS and dropped out because it was so hard the teacher was bad so I'm glad I am learning now.

Fall 2008 Susan Neel CRN 20186 HIST 1700 801

1. What did the instructor do well that helped your understanding and learning?

Great presentations & powerpoints make it easy for note taking

She showed movies and example. She also showed a lot of pictures to go along w/ the lectures. attented Lecture

I like how she uses the powerpoint & explains things in a way we can clearly understand.

Before I came to this class I had a basic knowledge of history I learned a little more.

Uses relevant films. Post power points online.

Have lecture slides online. Show Movies

The instructor did a great job helping me out.

There's much to be said in favor of a course-and-effect approach to teaching a history class. It especially made learning about the Revolutionary War exciting.

She had well organized presentations and lectures.

Her presentations were well organized & easy to follow along with.

She was very organized which provided an easy learning and understanding environment. She was enthusiastic about the subject and encouraged her students to feel the same way.

This instructor knows her stuff. The lectures were well presented and interesting with good use of videos to support the topics. I enjoyed this class very much.

2. What could the instructor have done better to help your understanding and learning?

Not spend too much time on irrelevent facts.

She does a good job to help w/ understanding

More depth

Explaining in a breifer way.

I think she could have us participate in discussions and have a little more fun with the subject.

Respond to e-mails. Provide feedback on tests & weekly projects sooner.

Show more movies.

The instructor did a good job, she explained things to me in a way that I understood what she was saying. I couldn't ask for a better history teacher.

Put things from her presentations on the test. When it came time for the test the presentations & note taking seemed almost pointless.

Stop occasionally to see if anyone has questions. Sometimes it is hard to ask about something because of the set schedule in class.

l would have enjoyed more classroom discussion comparing historical events to current events.

3. Make any additional comments you wish to include. These may concern any item in any section of the survey or other information which you feel has not been covered.

How the teacher takes attendance, I feel is very unreasonable. If the teacher didn't require us to come to class, I could still pass with an A without stepping a foot into the class once, for all the

information I need for the class is online. Students should have the option of taking this class entirely on line.

I really like the films shown in class.

She doesn't make students feel comfortable enough to speak up & ask questions.

Susan Neel is a great instructor and the best teacher I have had. I enjoyed her class very much.

Teach what was on the exams more.

She should make sure her assignments that are online are more reliably accessable. She should also respond to emails sent to her that request feedback.

Nothing

Worked more from the book toward the tests.

Nothing.

Some activities

She goes really well and I can't think of anything she needs to do better

Even more storys and examples!

Maybe mention more about stories or key concepts in the book.

Nothing - great teacher.

Everything was clear to me.

Nothing really she teaches good as it is

Nothing

3. Make any additional comments you wish to include. These may concern any item in any section of the survey or other information which you feel has not been covered.

Thanks.

None

She is a wonderful person to work with

She is an Amazing instructor!!

There is a lot of great information taught in this course, but too much to do with homework and the tests are a bit hard.

Neel is AWESOME! Thank you!

She wasn't helpful when I could not access some required course materials that were online Very fun class, pretty easy.

I found this course extremely interesting and informative - it was fun to learn from such an enthusiastic teacher who obviously loves the subject she teaches.

Great Class!

Ok yeah

Maybe an extra credit opportunity.

She should be awarded for her teaching ability!

Great class, I learned much about our History.

This class was interesting!

tell us are grade and let us know she has or hasn't goed are homework over the internet Because I never knew if she got any of my assignments

College of Eastern Utah

Fall 2008

Course Title: American Civilization

Instructor: Susan Neel

| the state of the s | | | | | | | |
|--|------|----------------|-------------------|--------------|-------------------|-----------------|----------------------|
| | Меап | No Response | Strongly Agree | Agree | Neutral | Disagree | Strongly Disagree |
| The instructor defined and achieved the objectives of the course. | 4.46 | 0 | 7 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| t. The instructor provided a course syllabus at the beginning of he class. | 4.31 | 0 | 5 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 3. The instructor demonstrated expertise in teh subject matter. | 4.46 | 0 | 8 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 4. The instructor was able to communicate the concepts well. | 4.38 | 0 | 7 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 5. The instructor is enthusiastic about the course. | 4.54 | . 0 | 8 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| . The instructor seems interested in students as individuals. | 3.92 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| . The instructor provided prompt feedback to students on ssignments and/or exams. | 3.46 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 0 |
| . The instructor was available during scheduled office hours and y appointment. | 4.46 | 0 | 6 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| . The instructor meets class on time and for the full period. | 4.62 | 0 | 8 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0. The instructor is well organized and prepared each day. | 4.46 | 0 | 7 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| The instructor made me feel comfortable about participating n class. | 4.15 | 0 | 6 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 2. The instructor provided adequate opportunities for students' questions. | 3.92 | 0 | 6 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| 3. I would recommend this instructor to a friend. | 3.54 | 0 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 3 |
| | Mean | No Response | Excellent | Very Good | Average | Inadequate | Very Poo |
| 4. I would rate the overall teaching ability of this instructor as: | 4.23 | 0 | 6 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| The Course | | | | | | | |
| | Mean | No Response | Strongly Agree | Agree | Neutral | Disagree | Strongly |
| 5. The course content corresponded with the objectives stated by the instructor. | 4.31 | 0 | 6 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| 6. The standards for evaluation and grading were clearly resented and applied consistently. | 4.23 | 0 | 6 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| The class assignments are clear, relevant, and in alignment vith the course description. | 4.08 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| 8. The class exams are consistent with class lectures, supportive eading, and course objectives. | 3.85 | 0 | 6 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| I would rate the text(s) and/or supplies for this course as ppropriate. | 4.00 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 1 |
| Overall | | | | _ | | _ | |
| | Mean | No Response | Very Extensive | Considerable | Some Knowledge | Very Limited | No Knowled |
| 0. I would rate my level of knowledge of this subject before | 3.08 | l | I | 2 | 6 | 3 | 0 |
| sking the course as: | Mean | No Response | Very Extensive | Considerable | Some Knowledge | Very Limited | No Knowleds |
| I would rate my level of knowledge of this subject after aking the course as: | 3.83 | 1 | 1 | 8 | 3 | 0 | 0 |

College of Eastern Utah Fall 2008

Course Title: American Civilization

Instructor: Susan Neel

| The Instructor | | | | | | | |
|--|------|----------------|-------------------|--------------|-------------------|-----------------|----------------------|
| | Mean | No Response | Strongly Agree | Agree | Neutral | Disagree | Strongly Disagree |
| The instructor defined and achieved the objectives of the course. | 4.69 | 0 | 20 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2. The instructor provided a course syllabus at the beginning of the class. | 4.72 | 0 | 21 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3. The instructor demonstrated expertise in teh subject matter. | 4.83 | 0 | 24 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4. The instructor was able to communicate the concepts well. | 4.72 | 0 | 22 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 5. The instructor is enthusiastic about the course. | 4.90 | 0 | 26 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6. The instructor seems interested in students as individuals. | 3.97 | 0 | 10 | 10 | 7 | 2 | 0 |
| 7. The instructor provided prompt feedback to students on assignments and/or exams. | 3.69 | 0 | 8 | 8 | 9 | 4 | 0 |
| 8. The instructor was available during scheduled office hours and by appointment. | 4.52 | 0 | 17 | 10 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| 9. The instructor meets class on time and for the full period. | 4.76 | 0 | 22 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 10. The instructor is well organized and prepared each day. | 4.79 | 0 | 24 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 11. The instructor made me feel comfortable about participating in class. | 4.28 | 0 | 13 | 11 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| 12. The instructor provided adequate opportunities for students' questions. | 4.00 | 0 | 11 | 9 | 7 | 2 | 0 |
| 13. I would recommend this instructor to a friend. | 4.17 | 0 | 14 | 9 | 4 | 1 | 1 |
| | Mean | No Response | Excellent | Very Good | Average | Inadequate | Very Poo |
| 14. I would rate the overall teaching ability of this instructor as: | 4.38 | 0 | 15 | 10 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| The Course | | | | | | | |
| | Меав | No Response | Strongly Agree | Agree | Neutral | Disagree | Strongly Disagree |
| 15. The course content corresponded with the objectives stated by the instructor. | 4.69 | 0 | 21 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 16. The standards for evaluation and grading were clearly presented and applied consistently. | 4.41 | 0 | 17 | 8 | 3 | 1 | 0 |
| 17. The class assignments are clear, relevant, and in alignment with the course description. | 4.48 | 0 | 16 | 12 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| The class exams are consistent with class lectures, supportive reading, and course objectives. | 4.10 | 0 | 12 | 11 | 3 | 3 | 0 |
| I would rate the text(s) and/or supplies for this course as appropriate. | 4.43 | 1 | 13 | 14 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Overall | | | | | | | |
| | Mean | No Response | Very Extensive | Considerable | Some Knowledge | Very Limited | No Knowledg |
| 20. I would rate my level of knowledge of this subject before taking the course as: | 2.93 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 19 | 6 | 0 |
| • | Mean | No Response | Very Extensive | Considerable | Some Knowledge | Very Limited | No Knowled |
| 21. I would rate my level of knowledge of this subject after | 4.14 | 0 | 8 | 17 | 4 | 0 | 0 |

Fall 2008 Susan Neel CRN 20185 HIST 1700 002

1. What did the instructor do well that helped your understanding and learning?

Taught great and the website was a good source.

She knows how to interest you. It is more that stories and facts, deepen, ake you think, try to understand further.

explained what the topics were about.

Her lectures were lively and she gave them meaning.

She told stories and gave examples of the material.

Uses of pictures and movies. I likes the projects. She lectures well. She isn't monotone.

She is very enthusiastic about sharing her knowledge & stories.

She would overview & review the chapter and units. That was very helpful in the learning and remembering process. I like the organization of the class I know what exactly expected in class.

She was passionate about teaching the course which made it easy to pay attention. She also was very detailed about everything.

Power points, videos, very good and knowledgable lectures.

Lots of Good pictures and stories/examples.

She did power point presentations, that let the students take note and see pictures from the past that help us better understand the lectures.

GOOD AT EXPLAINING AND DEFINING THE CONCEPTS. PASSIONATE

Having everything on line was extremly helpful and conveinient

VDOs and slide shows

She goes over each lesson carefully so that it's easy to understand.

Videos, her excitment about the subject made it more interesting.

Was well organized and explained concepts and storys in an easy way to understand and remember.

Visual aids and pictures were great! Her love for this subject is unreal, and makes paying attention easy.

She is vary passionate about history, that makes her a very good professor.

The weekly projects were fun and so were the unit projects

The power points.

A lot

She talks very well about what she is trying to teach easy to understand

2. What could the instructor have done better to help your understanding and learning?

Everything was good.

Maybe a little more time for questions, but it's okay.

Everything is okay

She could be a little faster on grading exams and post lecture a little sooner on site.

Ret lights on or a few to help stay awake.

Doing a test review in class. The tests are difficult and that would be helpful.

College of Eastern Utah Fall 2008

Course Title: U.S. to 1877 Instructor: Susan Neel

taking the course as:

taking the course as:

21. I would rate my level of knowledge of this subject after

| The Instructor | | | | | | | |
|---|------|----------------|-------------------|--------------|-----------|------------|----------------------|
| | Mean | No Response | Strongly Agree | Agree | Neutral | Disagree | Strongly Disagree |
| The instructor defined and achieved the objectives of the course. | 5.00 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| The instructor provided a course syllabus at the beginning of the class. | 4.71 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 3. The instructor demonstrated expertise in teh subject matter. | 5.00 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| I. The instructor was able to communicate the concepts well. | 5.00 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5. The instructor is enthusiastic about the course. | 5.00 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| . The instructor seems interested in students as individuals. | 4.57 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| . The instructor provided prompt feedback to students on ssignments and/or exams. | 3.71 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 |
| The instructor was available during scheduled office hours and by appointment. | 4.57 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| . The instructor meets class on time and for the fuil period. | 4.71 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 0. The instructor is well organized and prepared each day. | 4.86 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| The instructor made me feel comfortable about participating n class. | 4.71 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2. The instructor provided adequate opportunities for students' questions. | 4.43 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 3. I would recommend this instructor to a friend. | 4.86 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Mean | No Response | Excellent | Very Good | Average | Inadequate | Very Poo |
| 4. I would rate the overall teaching ability of this instructor as: | 4.86 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| The Course | | | | | | | |
| | Mean | No Response | Strongly Agree | Agree | Neutral | Disagree | Strongly Disagre |
| 5. The course content corresponded with the objectives stated by the instructor. | 5.00 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| The standards for evaluation and grading were clearly presented and applied consistently. | 4.57 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| The class assignments are clear, relevant, and in alignment with the course description. | 4.86 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| The class exams are consistent with class lectures, supportive eading, and course objectives. | 4.43 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| I would rate the text(s) and/or supplies for this course as ppropriate. | 4.57 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Overall | | | | | | | |
| | Maan | No | Very | Considerable | Some | Very | No |
| | Mean | Response | Extensive | | Knowledge | Limited | Knowled |

No

Response

0

Mean

4.43

Very

Extensive

3

Considerable

Some

Knowledge

Very

Limited

0

No

Knowledge

0

I really enjoyed the historical stories and would enjoy hearing and learning more. Instructor has an encyclopedic knowledge of history.

I never quite understood/knew where I was at in the class, concerning my grade and participation.

Although at first I didn't like the blugging portion of the course. I have now come to realize it is one of my favorite aspects of the class. It let me think about the discussions more in depth & I liked interacting with other students.